Age and Sex Disparities in Discharge Statin Prescribing in the Stroke Belt: Evidence From the Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke Study
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Background—Stroke is a costly and debilitating disease that disproportionately affects blacks. Despite the efficacy of statins, evidence suggests racial disparities may exist in statin prescribing.

Methods and Results—We analyzed discharge medications for participants hospitalized for an ischemic stroke during follow-up of the REGARDS (Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke) study. Medications on admission and discharge were abstracted from medical records. Among the 666 eligible incident strokes (2003–2013), analyses were restricted to 323 participants who were not statin users at the time of admission and had no history of atrial fibrillation. Overall, 48.7% were prescribed a statin on discharge. In the Stroke Belt, participants aged 65 years and older were 47% less likely to be discharged on a statin compared with those younger than 65 years (relative risk [RR], 0.53; 95% CI, 0.38–0.74). This association was not observed in non–Stroke Belt residents. Outside the Stroke Belt, blacks were more likely than whites to be discharged on a statin (RR, 1.42; 95% CI, 1.04–1.94), while no black:white association was present among Stroke Belt residents (RR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.69–1.26; P for interaction=0.228). Compared with women, men in the Stroke Belt were 31% less likely to be discharged on a statin (RR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.50–0.94) while men outside the Stroke Belt were more likely to be discharged on a statin (RR, 1.38; 95% CI, 0.99–1.92; P for interaction=0.004).

Conclusions—Statin discharge prescribing may differ among Stroke Belt and non–Stroke Belt residents, particularly in older Americans and men. (J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6:e005523. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.117.005523.)
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Each year, 795,000 people in the United States experience a new or recurrent stroke.1 Stroke is a leading cause of serious, long-term adult disability, with estimated direct and indirect costs of $71.6 billion in 2012.1 The majority of strokes (85%) are ischemic, with an annual recurrence rate of 5% to 15%.1–3 The importance of secondary stroke prevention is paramount, since the estimated 6.6 million adult stroke survivors in the United States are at increased risk for stroke recurrence.10 Recurrent strokes are often more disabling and costly than incident stroke.11

The SPARCL (Stroke Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol Levels) trial demonstrated the efficacy of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) in preventing recurrent stroke in patients without coronary heart disease.12,13 A Cochrane review of randomized controlled trials suggests that patients with ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack, with or without a history of coronary heart disease, should receive statins.14 Despite the demonstrated efficacy of statins in preventing recurrent stroke, some studies suggest that lipid-lowering agents are not being equally prescribed for all patients with stroke at the time of hospital discharge.15,16

Most studies to date have focused only on patients from hospitals participating in quality-improvement programs, thus the overall proportion of the general population of patients with ischemic stroke who receive a statin at discharge, and the association of patient characteristics and discharge statin prescribing remains unknown. The purpose of this analysis is to estimate the proportion of patients with ischemic stroke...
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What Is New?

• Among participants hospitalized for ischemic stroke who were not already taking a statin, <49% were prescribed a statin on discharge.
• Older Americans in the Stroke Belt were 47% less likely to be discharged on a statin; this difference was not observed in non–Stroke Belt residents.
• Blacks outside the Stroke Belt were 42% more likely to be prescribed a statin on discharge; no black:white differences were observed among Stroke Belt residents.
• In the Stroke Belt, men were 31% less likely to be discharged on a statin; outside the Stroke Belt, men were 38% more likely to be discharged on a statin.

What Are the Clinical Implications?

• In patients hospitalized for ischemic stroke, opportunities exist to improve statin prescribing on discharge.
• Providers should remain cognizant that a meta-analysis of placebo-controlled and active-comparator trials demonstrated that statins reduce the risk of stroke in patients with coronary heart disease and a randomized controlled trial demonstrated that statin treatment reduces the risk for recurrent stroke in patients with a history of stroke or transient ischemic attack.
• All ischemic stroke survivors should be evaluated to determine whether they could benefit from a statin, regardless of the patient’s age, race, sex, or geographic residence.

Methods

This report uses data from the REGARDS (Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke) study, a national, prospective cohort trial of 30 239 US adults aged 45 years and older, designed to investigate factors associated with the excess stroke mortality observed among blacks compared with whites and among residents of the Stroke Belt compared with other geographic regions of the United States. The Stroke Belt states include Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Virginia. The methodology of the REGARDS study has been previously described. Participant recruitment occurred from January 2003 through October 2007. Baseline data were collected using a computer-assisted telephone interview, self-administered questionnaires, and an in-home examination. Demographic information, medical history, and an array of other risk factors were obtained at the baseline telephone interview. Of relevance to the current analysis, the in-home examination was conducted a median of 3 to 4 weeks after the computer-assisted telephone interview and included blood pressure measurements, collection of blood and urine samples, anthropometry, and ECG. Participants were contacted every 6 months using the computer-assisted telephone interview and asked whether they have been hospitalized, visited an emergency department, or had a doctor’s visit that resulted in an overnight stay in a hospital. If a participant or proxy reported a potential stroke event (hospitalization or an outpatient visit), his/her medical records were requested and the case was adjudicated by an expert panel. Consent was obtained verbally by phone and later in writing during the in-person evaluation. The institutional review boards of the participating institutions approved the study methods.

From the 1044 adjudicated ischemic strokes occurring among REGARDS participants from January 2003 to October 2012, we excluded participants if they had inadequate medical records available for review (n=32), were discharged from the emergency department (n=37), were seen only in the outpatient setting (n=93), died during stroke hospitalization or were discharged to hospice (n=56), had incomplete medication records (n=2), reported a history of stroke at the time of REGARDS enrollment (n=158), had documented evidence of statin use before admission (n=253), or had evidence of atrial fibrillation (n=90), resulting in 323 adjudicated ischemic strokes in the current analysis (Figure 1). Analyses were restricted to individuals without atrial fibrillation, as individuals with atrial fibrillation were excluded from SPARCL, the trial that set the standard for statin use in secondary stroke prevention. Because SPARCL was not published until 2006, we also examined the proportion of statin prescribing by year.

Outcomes of Interest

Medications on hospital admission and discharge associated with the physician-adjudicated ischemic stroke events were obtained from medical records by 2 data abstractors. A list of each participant’s home medications (medications before hospitalization) was obtained from the admission history and physical examination and the medication reconciliation sheet. Medications prescribed at the time of discharge were obtained from the discharge summary and the inpatient medication reconciliation sheet. Any discrepancy was
Adjudicated ischemic strokes (N=1044)

- Inadequate medical records available for review (N=32)
- Discharged from the emergency department (not admitted to the hospital, N=37)
- Seen only in the outpatient setting (not admitted to the hospital, N=93)
- Died during stroke hospitalization or discharged to hospice (N=56)
- Incomplete medication records (N=2)
- Reported a history of stroke at the time of REGARDS enrollment (N=158)
- Medical record documentation of statin use before admission (N=253)
- Evidence of atrial fibrillation (N=90)

Final statin cohort (N=323)

**Figure 1.** Flow diagram of exclusion criteria applied to achieve the final statin (HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors) cohort. REGARDS indicates Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke study.

Adjudicated by 2 or more data abstractors. The primary outcome of interest for this analysis was documented evidence of statin prescribing at the time of discharge (“prescribed”).

In an effort to reduce biases, such as confounding, we restricted our analysis to participants who were not prevalent users of statins (n=323) at the time of admission. An individual was categorized as a prevalent user of statins if there was documentation in the medical record of the individual taking a medication from that class before admission. Participants who were not prevalent users of statins before admission and were prescribed a statin at the time of discharge were classified as incident statin users.

**Exposures and Covariates**

Age at the time of stroke, black race, and male sex were each considered individually as exposures. Stroke Belt residence was evaluated as an effect modifier by adding a Stroke Belt–exposure interaction term to each exposure model. Given that access to medical care has the potential to change with Medicare eligibility, we examined age at the time of stroke as a categorical variable (<65 years versus ≥65 years). All participants self-reported race as either non-Hispanic black or non-Hispanic white at the time of enrollment as described above. Sex was also self-reported. Residence was self-reported at the time of enrollment. Atrial fibrillation was defined by self-report or ECG detection of atrial fibrillation. Hypertension was defined by self-report, self-reported use of hypertension medications, or systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mm Hg (average of 2 blood pressure measurements). Dyslipidemia was defined as any of the following: self-reported high cholesterol, total cholesterol >200 mg/dL, high-density lipoprotein <40 mg/dL, or low-density lipoprotein cholesterol >100 mg/dL. Diabetes mellitus was defined by self-report...
or a fasting glucose level ≥126 mg/dL or a nonfasting glucose level ≥200 mg/dL. Coronary heart disease was defined by self-report of myocardial infarction, percutaneous coronary intervention, or coronary artery bypass graft surgery or ECG evidence of myocardial infarction. Chronic kidney disease was defined as a glomerular filtration rate <60 mL/min per 1.73 m². Impaired cognition was defined as a 6-item screener score of ≤4 during the baseline computer-assisted telephone interview. Variables related to smoking, education, and income were dichotomized as current smoking, obtaining at least a high school education, and having an annual income <$20 000. US hospital characteristics were obtained from the 2003 through 2013 American Hospital Association Annual Survey of Hospitals. The urban-rural status of each hospital was defined according to a modification of the rural-urban continuum classification scheme using county-level data.

Statistical Analysis
Baseline characteristics were compared between patients prescribed statins and patients not prescribed statins, using t tests for continuous variables and Pearson chi-square or Fisher exact tests, where appropriate, for categorical variables. In an effort to prevent the potential interpretation of the odds ratio as a relative risk, we evaluated the association between statin prescribing at time of discharge and each exposure of interest with crude and adjusted relative risk (ie, risk ratio [RR]) using the modified Poisson regression method. This method applies a robust error variance procedure (ie, sandwich estimation) to account for overestimation in the error term. RRs for statin prescribing were calculated for age 65 years and older compared with age younger than 65 years, blacks compared with whites, and men compared with women, after adjustment for hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, coronary heart disease, chronic kidney disease, impaired cognition, current smoking, high school education, and income. As we observed an increasing trend in statin prescribing over time (Figure 2), we included year of stroke in the multivariable models. Stroke Belt residence was evaluated for effect modification by adding a Stroke Belt–exposure interaction term (eg, male Stroke Belt residence) to each exposure model. In addition, sensitivity analyses were performed including participants with atrial fibrillation.

Results
Among the 323 participants with an incident stroke, the median age was 74 years (interquartile range, 67–80), 48.9% were black, 45.8% were men, and 55.7% were Stroke Belt residence. Figure 2. Proportion of participants prescribed a statin (HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors) at discharge by year.
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**Table 1.** Characteristics of Patients With Ischemic Stroke From the REGARDS Study With No Known History of Atrial Fibrillation Who Reported Not Using a Statin Before Admission by Statin Prescribing at Discharge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Not Using a Statin before Admission (n=323)</th>
<th>Discharge Statin Not Prescribed (n=158)</th>
<th>Discharge Statin Prescribed (n=165)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y*</td>
<td>74 (67–80)</td>
<td>75 (68–81)</td>
<td>73 (66–79)</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥65 y</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>0.561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>0.969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary heart disease</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>0.269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney disease</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0.914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired cognition</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>0.884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>0.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke buckle or belt</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>0.376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school education</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>0.518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income &lt;$20,000</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>0.951</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REGARDS indicates Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke; statin, HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor.

*Values are expressed as percentages except for continuous variables, which are expressed as median (25th percentile–75th percentile).

residents. Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of eligible participants who were not taking statins (n=323) at the time of admission by those who were and were not discharged on statins. Overall, 48.7% of participants had evidence of a statin prescribed at discharge. Participants who were prescribed a statin at discharge were more likely to have dyslipidemia compared with those who were not prescribed a statin (92.1% versus 82.3%, P<0.008). There were no other statistically significant differences between patients prescribed and not prescribed a statin. Hospitals where participants were prescribed a statin at discharge more frequently reported resident training compared with the hospitals where participants were not prescribed a statin at discharge (53.7% versus 38.5%, P=0.006). There were no other statistically significant differences between hospitals that did and did not prescribe a statin at (Table 2). There was an increasing trend in statin prescribing over time (P for trend <0.001) (Table 3).

After multivariable adjustment, participants aged 65 years and older were less likely to be prescribed a statin at discharge (RR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.57–0.99), while those with dyslipidemia were more likely to be prescribed a statin at discharge (RR, 1.67; 95% CI, 1.10–2.53) (Table 4). Participants were more likely to be prescribed a statin at discharge over time (RR, 1.13; 95% CI, 1.08–1.18). There were no statistically significant differences in statin prescribing by race (black:white RR, 1.13; 95% CI, 0.91–1.41) or sex (male: female RR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.78–1.21).

Interaction testing by Stroke Belt residence was statistically significant at a 2-sided α≤0.1 for both age 65 years and older (P=0.086) and male sex in the full models (P=0.004) (Table 5). Within the Stroke Belt, participants aged 65 years and older were 47% less likely to be discharged on a statin compared with patients younger than 65 years (RR, 0.53; 95% CI, 0.38–0.75). This association was not observed in non–Stroke Belt residents (RR, 1.14; 95% CI, 0.69–1.90; P for interaction=0.086). Among non–Stroke Belt residents, blacks were more likely to be discharged on a statin (RR, 1.42; 95% CI, 1.04–1.94). This association was not present among black Stroke Belt residents (RR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.69–1.26; P for interaction=0.228). Male Stroke Belt residents were 31% less likely to be discharged on a statin compared with their female counterparts (RR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.50–0.94). In non–Stroke Belt residents, men were more likely to be discharged on a statin (RR, 1.38; 95% CI, 0.99–1.92; P for interaction=0.004). Results did not change significantly when participants with atrial fibrillation were included in the analyses (data not shown).

**Discussion**

Although statin prescribing increased over time in the current study, statins were prescribed at discharge to only 49% of patients with ischemic stroke. This represents a treatment gap given current American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) recommendations. Comparing the estimate of statin discharge prescribing in the current study...
with prior reports is difficult because prior studies have used broad definitions that include any lipid-lowering agent. Using these broad definitions, previous studies have reported that 55% to 85% of patients with ischemic stroke receive lipid-lowering therapy at discharge. Estimates from prior studies were derived from clinical trials and hospitals dedicated to improving the quality of inpatient stroke care. Therefore, it is not surprising that the proportion of patients discharged on a statin is lower in the current study that was derived from a national cohort admitted to a variety of hospitals. While some studies have reported muscle-related side effects in 10% to 25% of patients receiving statin therapy, that proportion is not large enough to account for our observation that only 49% of ischemic stroke survivors were prescribed a statin at discharge. Further, we did see an increase in statin prescribing over time. The reasons for this are unclear. Since the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) was published in 2001, it is unlikely that these guidelines influenced the prescribing trends. Similarly, since the ACC/AHA Guideline on the Treatment of Blood Cholesterol to Reduce Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Risk in Adults was not published until 2013, this could not have impacted statin prescribing in our study since the strokes occurred from 2003 to 2013. Instead, the increase may be partially attributed to the SPARCL trial, with results published in 2006. Our data indicate that the first increase in statin prescribing for patients without dyslipidemia began in 2007. Further, results from SPARCL may have focused more attention on statin prescribing for patients with dyslipidemia, as the rates of prescribing for this group also increased after 2006.

Past Get With The Guidelines-Stroke studies have shown that patients admitted to hospitals in the South have lower odds of having a statin prescribed at discharge. These lower odds in discharge statin prescribing after stroke have also been described in older individuals, women, and blacks, but no study has specifically evaluated whether the magnitude of these differences by age, sex, and race is the same inside and outside of the Stroke Belt. By examining Stroke Belt residence as an effect modifier, we were able to examine the direction and magnitude of the age, sex, and race effect inside and outside of the Stroke Belt. In contrast to previous studies that have reported blacks as less likely to be prescribed statins, we found no differences in discharge statin prescribing between blacks and whites. There were, however, statistically significant age and sex differences among Stroke Belt residents.

Reasons for older participants and men being discharged on a statin less frequently among Stroke Belt residents are likely multifactorial. Providers and family members of older individuals and men may have had different goals of care.
influencing their decisions regarding secondary stroke prevention. They may have had complicated hospital courses resulting from uncontrolled vascular risk factors, inpatient complications, or more severe strokes leading to less aggressive inpatient stroke care.

**Study Strengths and Limitations**

The current study informs us on discharge statin prescribing over time; the associations between age, race, sex, and discharge statin prescribing; and the impact of Stroke Belt residence on these associations. Unlike the samples used for prior reports, the sampling of the REGARDS study allows for detailed focus on geographic variations in discharge prescribing.20 Particular attention to Stroke Belt residence is essential given that between 2% and 15% of the excess stroke mortality traditionally attributed to race is actually attributable to geography.35

The current study is not without limitations. Defining a participant as a prevalent statin user relied on the available medical documentation. Poor recall on the part of the patient or family could have resulted in prevalent users being misclassified as incident users (not taking a statin at the time of admission). The proportion of participants who were prescribed a statin at discharge could have been influence their decisions regarding secondary stroke prevention. They may have had complicated hospital courses resulting from uncontrolled vascular risk factors, inpatient complications, or more severe strokes leading to less aggressive inpatient stroke care.

**Study Strengths and Limitations**

The current study informs us on discharge statin prescribing over time; the associations between age, race, sex, and discharge statin prescribing; and the impact of Stroke Belt residence on these associations. Unlike the samples used for prior reports, the sampling of the REGARDS study allows for detailed focus on geographic variations in discharge prescribing.20 Particular attention to Stroke Belt residence is essential given that between 2% and 15% of the excess stroke mortality traditionally attributed to race is actually attributable to geography.35

The current study is not without limitations. Defining a participant as a prevalent statin user relied on the available medical documentation. Poor recall on the part of the patient or family could have resulted in prevalent users being misclassified as incident users (not taking a statin at the time of admission). The proportion of participants who were prescribed a statin at discharge could have been

**Table 3.** Statin Prescribing at Discharge for Patients With Ischemic Stroke From the REGARDS Study With No Known History of Atrial Fibrillation Who Reported Not Using a Statin Before Admission by Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Prescribed</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>23.1 (28)</td>
<td>41.9 (43)</td>
<td>50.0 (39)</td>
<td>50.0 (44)</td>
<td>48.0 (50)</td>
<td>50.0 (46)</td>
<td>50.0 (44)</td>
<td>48.0 (50)</td>
<td>48.0 (50)</td>
<td>50.0 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants with dyslipidemia prescribed a statin at the time of discharge</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>27.3 (22)</td>
<td>46.4 (28)</td>
<td>57.7 (26)</td>
<td>53.8 (29)</td>
<td>48.9 (45)</td>
<td>53.8 (39)</td>
<td>48.9 (45)</td>
<td>48.9 (45)</td>
<td>48.9 (45)</td>
<td>53.8 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants without dyslipidemia prescribed a statin at the time of discharge</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as percentages (counts). REGARDS indicates Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke; statin, HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor.

**Table 4.** Risk of Statin Prescribing at Discharge for Patients With Ischemic Stroke From the REGARDS Study With No Known History of Atrial Fibrillation Who Reported Not Using a Statin Before Admission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Crude PR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted* PR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥65 y</td>
<td>0.82 (0.64–1.05)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.57–0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>1.14 (0.92–1.41)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.91–1.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>0.94 (0.76–1.16)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.78–1.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1.19 (0.83–1.71)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.84–1.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>1.70 (1.07–2.70)</td>
<td>1.67 (1.10–2.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>1.00 (0.81–1.25)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.73–1.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic heart disease</td>
<td>1.14 (0.91–1.42)</td>
<td>1.19 (0.93–1.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired cognition</td>
<td>0.98 (0.70–1.36)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.69–1.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>1.07 (0.83–1.39)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.84–1.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke buckle or belt</td>
<td>0.91 (0.73–1.12)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.72–1.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school education</td>
<td>1.10 (0.81–1.49)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.77–1.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income &lt; $20,000</td>
<td>0.99 (0.76–1.29)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.79–1.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission year</td>
<td>1.11 (1.06–1.16)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.08–1.18)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as risk ratios (RRs) with 95% CIs. REGARDS indicates Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke; statin, HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor.

*Full model adjusted for age, race, sex, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, coronary heart disease, chronic kidney disease, impaired cognition, current smoking status, stroke buckle or belt residence, education, income, and admission year.
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Table 5. Crude and Fully Adjusted* Risk of Statin Prescribing at Discharge for Patients With Ischemic Stroke From the REGARDS Study With No Known History of Atrial Fibrillation Who Reported Not Using a Statin Before Admission by Stroke Belt Residence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Crude Non-Stroke Belt (n=143)</th>
<th>Stroke Belt (n=180)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Adjusted* Non-Stroke Belt (n=143)</th>
<th>Stroke Belt (n=180)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥65 y</td>
<td>1.15 (0.72–1.86)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.48–0.87)</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>1.14 (0.69–1.90)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.38–0.75)</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>1.31 (0.95–1.80)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.74–1.34)</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>1.42 (1.04–1.94)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.69–1.26)</td>
<td>0.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>1.28 (0.94–1.75)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.50–0.96)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1.38 (0.99–1.92)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.50–0.94)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1.09 (0.65–1.82)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.76–2.08)</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>1.24 (0.72–2.14)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.65–1.76)</td>
<td>0.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>1.49 (0.78–2.86)</td>
<td>1.87 (0.98–3.56)</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>1.64 (0.88–3.04)</td>
<td>1.90 (1.05–2.47)</td>
<td>0.576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>0.92 (0.66–1.28)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.81–1.47)</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>0.85 (0.61–1.20)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.71–1.25)</td>
<td>0.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary heart disease</td>
<td>1.26 (0.93–1.70)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.74–1.43)</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>1.14 (0.83–1.57)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.80–1.59)</td>
<td>0.554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney disease</td>
<td>0.80 (0.53–1.22)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.88–1.80)</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.86 (0.57–1.30)</td>
<td>1.42 (1.00–2.03)</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired cognition</td>
<td>0.97 (0.62–1.50)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.59–1.59)</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.94 (0.62–1.42)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.55–1.49)</td>
<td>0.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>1.22 (0.87–1.71)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.65–1.40)</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>1.09 (0.87–1.53)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.65–1.29)</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school education</td>
<td>1.03 (0.67–1.60)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.76–1.72)</td>
<td>0.745</td>
<td>1.16 (0.71–1.91)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.72–1.57)</td>
<td>0.647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income &lt;$20 000</td>
<td>1.09 (0.75–1.58)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.65–1.35)</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>1.16 (0.76–1.75)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.72–1.51)</td>
<td>0.737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission year</td>
<td>1.08 (1.02–1.15)</td>
<td>1.14 (1.07–1.20)</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>1.09 (1.03–1.16)</td>
<td>1.16 (1.09–1.24)</td>
<td>0.259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as risk ratios (RRs) with 95% CIs. REGARDS indicates the Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke; statin, HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor.

*Full model adjusted for age, race, sex, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, coronary heart disease, chronic kidney disease, impaired cognition, current smoking status, education, income, and admission year.

underestimated if incident users were not taking statins because of previous statin side effects. Poor documentation in the medical record at the time of discharge would result in participants being misclassified as not prescribed a statin, resulting in overestimates of the proportion not prescribed a statin. We were unable to obtain reliable insurance information, which may have impacted statin prescribing. However, the majority of our sample was 65 years and older, ensuring at least basic insurance coverage through Medicare.

Restricting our analysis to participants who reported not taking a statin at the time of admission reduced our sample size and may have impaired our ability to detect differences in groups, but we employed an incident user design as an attempt to that ensure prescribing patterns are not confounded by prior statin use. Thus, our findings may not be generalizable to all individuals who experience a stroke, most notably past or present statin users. Knowing that individuals taking a statin may differ from those not taking a statin in terms of the duration and severity of existing comorbidities, we designed our study to focus on individuals not taking a statin at the time of admission. Despite these limitations, this is one of few studies to report on the proportion of patients with ischemic stroke discharged on a statin, a secondary stroke prevention medication class proven effective in reducing recurrent stroke. One strength of this study is its use of the REGARDS cohort data. Using these data permits us to examine Stroke Belt residence, factors related to stroke, and the discharge prescribing of statins at a variety of hospitals nationwide, avoiding the bias introduced by examining only patients treated at hospitals participating in stroke quality-improvement programs.

Conclusions

Although statins have been shown to lower the risk of recurrent stroke, fewer than 50% of participants in a nationwide cohort study were prescribed a statin at the time of stroke hospital discharge. Among Stroke Belt residents, individuals aged 65 years and older and men were less likely to be discharged on a statin—not blacks—leaving the reasons for higher rates of recurrent stroke in blacks unresolved. A next step in our efforts to understand the reasons for the higher rate of recurrent stroke in blacks is to evaluate statin adherence in ischemic stroke survivors.
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